

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,231 | 04/21/2006 | John Nicholas Staniforth | 478.1072 | 8836 |
| | 7590 10/14/200 idson & Kappel, LLC | EXAMINER | | |
| 485 7th Avenu | | JEAN-LOUIS, SAMIRA JM | | |
| 14th Floor New York, NY | 10018 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1617 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/14/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| 10/552,231 | STANIFORTH ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Examiner | AILOIIL | | |
| SAMIRA JEAN-LOUIS | 1617 | | |

| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| • | SAMIRA JEAN-LOUIS | 1617 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apr | | | ddress | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the neutrinous statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the neutrinous statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the neating date of this communication. - All y reply received by the Cifice later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carend patent from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-39 and 42-47 is/are pending in the | application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 42-47</u> are subject to restricti | on and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | priority under 35 LLS C & 119/a | \(d) or (f) | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5] Notice of Informal F | atent Application | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/552,231 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Group I, claims 1-35 and 42-47 are drawn to a composition, a blister or a dry powder inhaler for treating sexual dysfunction by pulmonary inhalation, said composition comprising apomorphine, the apomorphine being in the form of a free base, pharmaceutically acceptable salt or ester.
- II. Group II, claims 36-39 are drawn to a method of treating sexual dysfunction, the method comprising administering to a subject in need of such treatment a composition as claimed in group I.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is Application/Control Number: 10/552,231

Art Unit: 1617

only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings. Whether or not any specific technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature", should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

In this instant application, the common technical feature in both groups is the composition. This composition cannot be said to be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because it is shown in the prior art.

In this case, Gupta et al. (U. S. Patent 2002/0006933 A1, cited by applicant and filed on an IDS 1449) teaches apomorphine for the treatment of sexual dysfunction while reducing undesirable side effects (see abstract and pg. 1, paragraph 0001). As a result, no special technical features exist among the two groups because the inventions in Groups I and II fail to make a contribution over the prior art with

Application/Control Number: 10/552,231

Art Unit: 1617

respect to novelty and inventive step. In conclusion, there is a lack of unity of inventions, and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/552,231

Art Unit: 1617

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is also reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

No telephone call was made due to the complexity of the election/restriction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samira Jean-Louis whose telephone number is 571-270-3503. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 PM EST M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. J. L. /

Examiner, Art Unit 1617

10/02/08

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617